

Association of Perceived Stress With Depression Among Vaccinated Healthcare Workers During the SARS-CoV-2 Variant Outbreak: The Mediating Role of Compassion Fatigue

Xue Cai¹, Guohong Li¹, Haixia Feng¹, Xiaoyan Wang¹, Lijun He¹, Dan Luo², Cuirong Xu¹, Yingzi Huang³, and Shanhu Qiu⁴

¹Department of Nursing, Zhongda Hospital, School of Medicine, Southeast University, Nanjing, China

²School of Nursing, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, China

³Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Critical Care Medicine, Zhongda Hospital, School of Medicine, Southeast University, Nanjing, China

⁴Department of General Practice, Zhongda Hospital, School of Medicine, Southeast University, Nanjing, China

Objective Depression was common during coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, while the association of perceived stress with depression among vaccinated healthcare workers has not been investigated. This study aimed to address this issue.

Methods We included a total of 898 fully vaccinated healthcare workers during the outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 Delta variant in Nanjing, 2021. Depression was ascertained by Patient Health Questionnaire-9, with a cut-off score of \geq 5 indicative of mild-to-severe depression. Perceived stress, resilience, and compassion fatigue were assessed by Perceived Stress Scale-10, Resilience Scale-25, and Professional Quality of Life Scale version-5, respectively. Logistic regression analyses were used to estimate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI), along with subgroup and mediation analyses.

Results The prevalence of mild-to-severe depression was 41.1% in vaccinated healthcare workers. The odd of mild-to-severe depression was increased with higher perceived stress. Compared with vaccinated healthcare workers with the lowest tertile of perceived stress, those with the highest tertile had increased odds of mild-to-severe depression by 120% (OR 2.20, 95% CI 1.46 to 3.31) after multivariable-adjustment. However, perceived stress was not associated with mild-to-severe depression in vaccinated healthcare workers with strong resilience, but was in those with weak resilience ($p_{interaction}$ =0.004). Further analysis showed that compassion fatigue mediated the relationship between perceived stress and mild-to-severe depression, with a mediating effect of 49.7%.

Conclusion Perceived stress was related to an increased odd of mild-to-severe depression in vaccinated healthcare workers during COVID-19 pandemic, and this relationship might be explained by compassion fatigue. **Psychiatry Investig 2023;20(4):307-314**

Keywords SARS-CoV-2; Vaccination; Healthcare workers; Psychological stress; Depression.

INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19), which is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has emerged as the most significant global health crisis since the influenza pandemic of 1918. As of December 2021, it is estimated that 18.2 million people had died worldwide based on the weekly—or monthly—reported mortality data from 74 countries and territories and 266 subnational locations.¹ However, the global number of death attributable to SARS-CoV-2 may continue to increase due to the rapidly growing number of infected patients from Delta and/or Omicron variants, which exhibited increased infectivity than Alpha variant.^{2,3}

Received: August 25, 2022 Revised: November 28, 2022 Accepted: December 13, 2022

Correspondence: Cuirong Xu, MD

Correspondence: Yingzi Huang, PhD

Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Critical Care Medicine, Zhongda Hospital, School of Medicine, Southeast University, No. 87, Dingjiaqiao Road, Gulou District, Nanjing 210009, China

Tel: +86-025 83272064, E-mail: yz_huang@126.com

Department of Nursing, Zhongda Hospital, School of Medicine, Southeast University, No. 87, Dingjiaqiao Road, Gulou District, Nanjing 210009, China Tel: +86-025 83272070, E-mail: xucuirong67@126.com

[©] This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Accumulating evidence has shown that depression is common during the pandemic, in particular in individuals infected with COVID-19.⁴⁻⁶ Studies have also suggested that healthcare workers, such as physicians and nurses, are at a high risk of depression.^{7,8} A recent systematic umbrella review of the global evidence including 169,157 healthcare workers from 35 countries showed that the prevalence of depression in healthcare workers ranged from 17.9% to 36% during the COV-ID-19 pandemic.⁹ However, results from the included individual studies in this review have seldomly accounted for whether healthcare workers had received COVID-19 vaccines,^{10,11} which are proved to protect against hospitalization and decrease the risk of death from COVID-19, thereby reducing the psychological burden to some extent.

Previous studies have extensively assessed the influencing factors on depression from COVID-19, such as sex, age, marital status, education levels, and family support, in healthcare workers.¹²⁻¹⁴ However, few of them have focused on the contributing role of perceived stress, a psychological state that is occurred when the pressure exceeds the perceived ability of an individual to deal with,^{15,16} to depression in healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic.¹⁷

Being correlated with perceived stress, compassion fatigue refers to a state of tension or a sense of dissatisfaction resulted from cumulative exposure to repeated interactions that require high levels of empathy with distressed people.^{18,19} Recent studies have shown that compassion fatigue is common in healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic, because of their frequent contacts with distressed patients who are in need of care and the unpleasant patient situations.²⁰ However, it remains unclear whether compassion fatigue plays any role in the association of perceived stress with depression symptoms in vaccinated healthcare workers during the COV-ID-19 pandemic, given that compassion fatigue is associated with depression²¹ while improvements in compassion fatigue may help to ameliorate depression symptoms in healthcare professionals.²²

Unlike compassion fatigue, resilience reflects the capacity of an individual in coping with adversity, traumatic, and stressful events.^{23,24} A recent study observed a protective role of resilience against anxiety in Italian healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic.²⁵ However, no studies have assessed whether resilience has any moderating effect for the association between perceived stress and depression.

Given these, and in order to assist in optimizing the management approaches for possible depression resulted from COVID-19, this study was primarily conducted to assess the association of perceived stress with depression, and secondarily to evaluate the mediating role of compassion fatigue as well as the moderating role of resilience in vaccinated healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic.

METHODS

Study design and participants

This cross-sectional study was conducted from 14 August to 14 September 2021, when SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant outbroke in Nanjing that substantially affected the daily lives of the citizens (e.g., passengers planning to leave Nanjing by train had to present negative nucleic acid testing results taken within 48 hours) (https://www.chinadaily.com.cn/ a/202107/27/WS60ffb523a310efa1bd664bd0.html). Completely vaccinated healthcare workers against COVID-19, such as doctors, nurses, pharmacists, radiologists, and physiotherapists, in Zhongda hospital, Southeast University, were consecutively invited to participate in this study via e-posters distributed by WeChat mobile application. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Zhongda hospital, Southeast University (approval No. IRB 2021ZDSYLL196-P01), and electronic informed consent was obtained from all participants. This study was reported according to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology guideline.

A web-based survey using a standard questionnaire designed in Wenjuanxing was sent to all participants through the WeChat mobile application. Participants were invited to respond to the questionnaire by scanning the quick response code, which had to be completed within one week. All questionnaires were anonymous, and no personal identities were disclosed in this survey. In order to ensure the quality of this survey, we excluded participants who finished the questionnaire <3 or >60 min. We also excluded participants who had received anti-depression treatment.

Data collection

In this study we collected information on demographic variables, personal information, depressive symptoms, perceived stress, compassion fatigue, and resilience. Demographic variables and personal information included sex (male and female), age, marital status (unmarried, married, and divorced/ widow), education level (bachelor and below, master and doctor), occupation (doctors, nurses, and health-related administrators), contract employee, smoking, drinking, physical activity, and length of working years.

Depression was assessed using the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9),²⁶⁻²⁸ which comprises 9 items with the total scores between 0 and 27. For the PHQ-9 score, 1 to 4 is considered as minimal depression, 5 to 9 as mild depression, 10 to 14 as moderate depression, 15 to 19 as moderately severe depression, and 20 to 27 as severe depression.²⁷ In this study we defined the PHQ-9 score \geq 5 as mild-to-severe depression for the primarily analysis, and \geq 10 indicative of major depression (moderate-to-severe depression) for the secondary analysis.^{27,28} The Cronbach's alpha coefficient for PHQ-9 was 0.91 in this study.

Perceived stress was evaluated using the Perceived Stress Scale-10 (PSS-10), a short form of PSS that is used to measure how stressful an individual perceives events in his/her daily life.²⁹ PSS-10 contains 6 negative items used to assess the lack of control and negative affective reactions, and 4 positive items to reflect the ability to cope with existing stressors. Each item was rated on a 5-point scale from 0 (never) to 4 (always), with a high total score indicative of a high perceived stress level. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient for PSS-10 was 0.83 in this study.

Compassion fatigue was assessed using the Chinese version of the Professional Quality of Life Scale version-5 (PRO-QOL-5).^{30,31} PROQOL-5 is consisted of 30 items based on a 5-point Likert scale, and has 3 subscales including compassion satisfaction, burnout, and secondary traumatic stress. For PROQOL-5, compassion fatigue referred to the subscales of burnout and secondary traumatic stress suggested by previous studies.^{32,33} In this study the Cronbach's alpha coefficients for burnout and secondary traumatic stress were 0.80 and 0.84, respectively.

Resilience was assessed using the Resilience Scale-25 (RS-25).³⁴ RS-25 is comprised of 25 items and each item includes 7-point scale responses ranging from 1 (not agree at all) to 7 (fully agree). The answers were summed up together, with a high score representing a high resilience level. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient for the RS-25 was 0.97 in this study.

Statistical analyses

Continuous variables are expressed as means±standard deviations (SDs) and categorical variables as numbers (percentages). Group differences based on the presence of mildto-severe depression were compared using the t-test or chisquared test. Logistic regression analyses were conducted to estimate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for mild-to-severe depression in relation to perceived stress based on the following models: model 1, crude model; model 2, adjusted for age and sex; and model 3, further adjusted for body mass index, education level, regular physical activity (yes or no), smoking (yes or no), contract employee (yes or no), daily working hours (8 hours above or not), compassion fatigue, and resilience. A test for linear trend was conducted with the use of tertiles of the PPS-10 scores as a continuous variable.

Subgroup analyses of sex (male vs. female), overweight/ obesity (yes vs. no), regular physical activity (yes vs. no), contract employee (yes vs. no), and resilience level (strong vs. weak) were performed, and possible interactions were tested by incorporating the perceived stress-by-subgroup in model 3. We also performed a supplemental analysis to assess the relationship of major depression (PHQ-9 score \geq 10) with perceived stress²⁷ to show the robustness of our primary analysis. Mediation analysis based on the generalized structural equation model was employed to evaluate the mediating effect of compassion fatigue in the relationship between perceived stress and mild-to-severe depression. A p<0.05 (twotailed) was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using Stata 14.0 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS

Characteristics of enrolled participants

Of the 989 vaccinated healthcare workers surveyed, 71 were excluded due to the missing information on age or PHQ-9 score, and 20 because of receiving anti-depression treatment, leaving 898 eligible for inclusion in the present study (Supplementary Figure 1 in the online-only Data Supplement). The mean age of the included healthcare workers were 32.4±7.5 years, with 84.7% being females. A total of 369 (41.1%) healthcare workers had mild-to-severe depression; and among them, 135 (15.0%) had major depression. Healthcare workers with mild-to-severe depression were likely to have more daily working hours, less physical activity, a larger percentage of contract employees, higher levels of perceived stress and compassion fatigue, and lower levels of resilience, compared with those without (p<0.05) (Table 1).

The association between perceived stress and depression

The association between perceived stress and the odd of mild-to-severe depression is presented in Table 2. The odd of mild-to-severe depression was increased with increments in perceived stress (models 1-3, p<0.001). Compared with vaccinated healthcare workers with the lowest tertile of perceived stress, those with the highest tertile had an OR of mild-to-severe depression as 3.27 (95% CI 2.33 to 4.60) in model 1. However, the association was attenuated to be 2.20 (95% CI 1.46 to 3.31) in model 3. Further analysis showed that the OR of mild-to-severe depression was 1.80 (95% CI 1.48 to 2.20) per 1-SD increment in PSS-10 scores model 3. Supplemental analysis for major depression showed a similar outcome, with the ORs ranging from 2.08 to 2.67 per 1-SD increment in PSS-10 scores in different adjustment models (Supplementary Table 1 in the online-only Data Supplement).

Subgroup analyses showed that the association between perceived stress and mild-to-severe depression was not sig-

Table 1. Baseline chara	cteristics of study par	licipants
-------------------------	-------------------------	-----------

Characteristics	Total participant (N=898)	Depression (N=369)	Non-depression (N=529)	р
Age (yr)	32.4±7.5	32.1±6.5	32.7±8.1	0.15
Female	761 (84.7)	321 (87.0)	440 (83.2)	0.19
BMI (kg/m ²)	22.0±3.1	21.9±2.9	22.1±3.1	0.15
Bachelor and below	743 (82.7)	312 (84.6)	431 (81.5)	0.23
Marital status				0.94
Single	271 (30.2)	111 (30.1)	160 (30.3)	
Married	607 (67.6)	249 (67.5)	358 (67.7)	
Separated or widow/er	20 (2.2)	9 (2.4)	11 (2.1)	
Occupation				0.44
Physician	133 (14.8)	48 (13.0)	85 (16.1)	
Nurse	664 (73.9)	278 (75.3)	386 (73.0)	
Other healthcare workers	101 (11.2)	43 (11.7)	58 (11.0)	
Length of service				0.54
≤5 yr	256 (28.5)	101 (27.4)	155 (29.3)	
6–10 yr	324 (36.1)	141 (38.2)	183 (34.6)	
>10 yr	318 (35.4)	127 (34.4)	191 (36.1)	
Daily working hours (>8 hr)	401 (44.7)	185 (50.1)	216 (40.8)	0.01
Contract employee	628 (69.9)	272 (73.7)	356 (67.3)	0.04
Smoking	35 (3.9)	17 (4.6)	18 (3.4)	0.65
Physical activity	274 (30.5)	82 (22.2)	192 (36.3)	< 0.001
PHQ-9 scores	5.3±4.8	10.0±3.9	2.10±1.9	< 0.001
PPS-10 scores	13.0±6.7	15.6±6.3	11.1±6.3	< 0.001
Compassion fatigue	46.0±9.9	52.0±8.6	41.8±8.5	< 0.001
Resilience	135.7±22.5	128.6±21.1	140.7±22.1	< 0.001

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number (%). BMI, body mass index; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9; PPS-10, Perceived Stress Scale-10

Table 2. Perceived stress and the odd of mild-to-severe depression

		Model 1*	Model 2 [†]	Model 3 [‡]	
Perceived stress	No. of cases/	Model 1	Model 2*		
	total participants	OR (95% CIs)	OR (95% CIs)	OR (95% CIs)	
Low (tertile 1, <11)	103/318	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Medium (tertile 2, 11 to 16)	103/313	1.02 (0.73 to 1.43)	1.02 (0.73 to 1.43)	1.32 (0.89 to 1.94)	
High (tertile 3, >17)	163/267	3.27 (2.33 to 4.60)	3.36 (2.38 to 4.73)	2.20 (1.46 to 3.31)	
p for trend		< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	
Per 1-SD increment	369/898	2.17 (1.84 to 2.56)	2.19 (1.86 to 2.59)	1.80 (1.48 to 2.20)	

*model 1: unadjusted; †model 2: adjusted for age and sex; ‡model 3: adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, education level, regular physical activity (yes vs. no), smoking (yes vs. no), contract employee (yes vs. no), daily working hours (>8 hr vs. \leq 8 hr), compassion fatigue, and resilience. OR, odds ratio; CIs, confidence intervals; SD, standard deviation

nificantly affected by sex, body weight status, physical activity, and the types of employment ($p_{interaction} \ge 0.33$) (Table 3). However, perceived stress was not related to an increased OR of mild-to-severe depression in healthcare workers with strong resilience, but was in those with weak resilience ($p_{interaction}=0.004$) (Table 3).

Mediating role of compassion fatigue

Mediation analysis on the role of compassion fatigue in the association of perceived stress with mild-to-severe depression is shown in Figure 1. Results showed that compassion fatigue significantly mediated the relationship between perceived stress and mild-to-severe depression, with the mediating effect being 49.7%.

Table 3. Subgroup analysis*

Subgroups	Model 1 [†]	Model 2 [‡]	Model 3§	Pinteraction
	OR (95% CIs)	OR (95% CIs)	OR (95% CIs)	
Sex				0.58
Male	1.67 (1.13 to 2.48)	1.68 (1.13 to 2.51)	1.46 (0.86 to 2.49)	
Female	2.29 (1.90 to 2.75)	2.31 (1.92 to 2.77)	1.88 (1.51 to 2.33)	
BMI				0.94
$\geq 24 \text{ kg/m}^2$	2.10 (1.49 to 2.95)	2.25 (1.57 to 3.23)	1.98 (1.26 to 3.12)	
<24 kg/m ²	2.20 (1.82 to 2.66)	2.20 (1.82 to 2.66)	1.77 (1.41 to 2.21)	
Physical activity				0.98
Regular	2.06 (1.48 to 2.86)	2.15 (1.53 to 3.02)	1.80 (1.18 to 2.73)	
Irregular	2.20 (1.81 to 2.67)	2.20 (1.81 to 2.67)	1.89 (1.50 to 2.38)	
Employments				0.33
Contract employee	2.22 (1.83 to 2.71)	2.21 (1.81 to 2.69)	1.94 (1.54 to 2.46)	
Permanent staff	2.18 (1.58 to 3.02)	2.20 (1.59 to 3.04)	1.52 (1.03 to 2.23)	
Resilience level				< 0.01
Strong	1.90 (1.47 to 2.46)	1.92 (1.48 to 2.50)	1.31 (0.97 to 1.77)	
Weak	2.50 (1.98 to 3.13)	2.49 (1.98 to 3.13)	2.29 (1.74 to 3.00)	

*all analysis was in relation to 1 standard deviation increment in perceived stress; †model 1: unadjusted; ‡model 2: adjusted for age and sex; §model 3: adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, education level, regular physical activity (yes vs. no), smoking (yes vs. no), contract employee (yes vs. no), daily working hours (>8 hr vs. \leq 8 hr), compassion fatigue, and resilience; "tests of interaction were conducted for results from model 3. OR, odds ratio; CIs, confidence intervals; BMI, body mass index



Figure 1. Mediation analysis for mild-to-severe depression associated with perceived stress and compassion fatigue.

DISCUSSION

Main findings

This survey is the first cross-sectional study that focused on the association of perceived stress with mild-to-severe depression among vaccinated healthcare workers during the COV-ID-19 pandemic. In this study, we found that about 41% vaccinated healthcare workers had mild-to-severe depression. Higher perceived stress was associated with a lower odd of mild-to-severe depression, and this association might be moderated by resilience. We also found that compassion fatigue may mediate the association of perceived stress with mild-tosevere depression by approximately 50%.

Interpretations and implications

Our study showed that the odd of mild-to-severe depression was increased by 80% per 1-SD increment in perceived stress among vaccinated healthcare workers after multivariable-adjustment during the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant outbreak. This is in general consistent with the results from the study by Lee et al.,¹⁷ which showed that high perceived stress was associated with an increased PHQ-9 score in the Korean healthcare workers. However, that study did not account for the matter whether healthcare workers had received COV-ID-19 vaccines. There are some explanations for the association of perceived stress with depression. Prior studies showed that there was a significant genetic correlation between perceived stress and depression, indicating that these two constructs may share a common genetic basis.^{35,36} As a result, participants who are vulnerable to high perceived stress might be also susceptible to an increased probability of depression. Furthermore, perceived stress was associated with unfavorable functional and structural changes in the prefrontal cortex, which may cause depressive symptoms, leading to depression subsequently.^{37,38}

Of note, although being vaccinated against COVID-19, we found that 41% of the healthcare workers had mild-to-severe depression, which was comparable to other studies conducted in the general healthcare workers (i.e., 36.2% with mild-to-severe depression) or the general population (i.e., 33.7% with depression),^{4,11} although their questionnaires used for assessing depression as well as the cut-off points for ascertaining depression varied (e.g., the Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale-21, Beck Depression Inventory-II). This indicates that vaccine administration might be not able to sufficiently reduce the onset of mental problems in healthcare workers, in particular compared with the general population, at least for depression, during the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant outbreak.

Our study also showed that increments in perceived stress were not associated with an increased odd of mild-to-severe depression in vaccinated healthcare workers with strong resilience, but were in those with weak resilience. Given this and considering that resilience may strengthen the resistance to stress by allowing greater access to positive emotional resources, it seems likely that improvements in resilience may help to offset the detrimental psychological effects from increased perceived stress, at least partly.³⁹ In addition, regular physical activity is considered effective in copying with perceived stress and associated with lower risk of depression.40-42 However, in our study, we did not find that regular physical activity has any significant moderating effect for the association of perceived stress with mild-to-severe depression in vaccinated healthcare workers during the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant outbreak. Moreover, neither sex nor body weight status affected this association, although there is evidence that depression is more common in females or among participants with obesity than their counterparts.

Furthermore, our mediation analysis showed that the impact of perceived stress on mild-to-severe depression could be partly mediated by compassion fatigue, with a mediating effect of about 50%. This is of significant clinical importance, since on the one hand it suggests that healthcare workers, who are prone to compassion fatigue when exposed to stressors from COVID-19, might have an increased odd of depression. On the other hand, it provides evidence in support of the notion that reducing compassion fatigue might assist in minimizing the negative impact from perceived stress on depression. Thus, programs designed to ease perceived stress and/ or reduce compassion fatigue (e.g., mindfulness-based interventions²²) are warranted to lower the occurrence of depression during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Strengths and limitations

This study, which is to our knowledge, the first analysis focused on the association of perceived stress with depression in vaccinated healthcare workers during the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant outbreak, along with the exploration of mediating effect from compassion fatigue. However, our study has several limitations. First, the causal relationship between perceived stress and depression cannot be determined due to the nature of cross-sectional design. Future prospective cohort studies are warranted to validate our findings. Moreover, residual confounding could not be excluded because of some unmeasured factors (e.g., dietary intake). Second, psychosocial variables were mainly assessed using self-report questionnaires, which might be subject to recall and/or reporting bias. Third, the use of PHQ-9 score of ≥ 5 to define clinically meaningful depression might be challenged, since PHQ-9 score of 5-9 may largely represent depressive symptoms. However, our supplemental analysis, which employed the cut-off point of ≥ 10 to define depression, showed a comparable outcome that perceived stress was associated with depression among vaccinated healthcare workers. Nevertheless, we defined PHQ-9 score of \geq 5 as mild-to-severe depression for better transparency in our study. Finally, participants in our study were recruited mainly from a single center, challenging their representativeness potentially.

Conclusions

In conclusion, two-fifths of the vaccinated healthcare workers had mild-to-severe depression during the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant outbreak. Increments in perceived stress were related to elevated prevalence of mild-to-severe depression, but not in vaccinated healthcare workers with strong resilience. Moreover, the association of perceived stress with prevalent depression was partly explained by compassion fatigue. These findings suggest that easing perceived stress, enhancing resilience, and/or reducing compassion fatigue may help to cope with depression in vaccinated healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Supplementary Materials

The online-only Data Supplement is available with this article at https://doi.org/10.30773/pi.2022.0238.

Availability of Data and Material

The data for the study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Xue Cai, Guohong Li, Cuirong Xu, Yingzi Huang, Shanhu Qiu. Data curation: Xue Cai, Shanhu Qiu. Formal analysis: Xue Cai, Dan Luo, Cuirong Xu, Yingzi Huang, Shanhu Qiu. Methodology: Guohong Li, Haixia Feng, Xiaoyan Wang, Lijun He, Cuirong Xu. Project administration: Guohong Li, Haixia Feng, Xiaoyan Wang, Lijun He. Supervision: Cuirong Xu, Yingzi Huang, Validation: Dan Luo, Cuirong Xu, Yingzi Huang, Shanhu Qiu. Writing—original draft: Xue Cai, Dan Luo, Shanhu Qiu. Writing—review & editing: all authors.

ORCID iDs

Xue Cai	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7826-1794
Guohong Li	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8365-1698
Haixia Feng	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1718-0971
Xiaoyan Wang	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6571-0669
Lijun He	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8743-2268
Dan Luo	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2838-2832
Cuirong Xu	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8979-0533
Yingzi Huang	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8304-3580
Shanhu Qiu	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2597-3856

Funding Statement

This work was partly supported by the scientific research project from the Chinese Nursing Association (grant no. ZHKYQ202117) and the Open Project Programme of the Key Base for Standardized Training for General Physicians in Southeast University (grant no. ZDZYJD-QK-2022-3). Shanhu Qiu has been supported by the "Best Young Scholars" Fellowship from Southeast University. The funders had no roles in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data or in writing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- COVID-19 excess mortality collaborators. Estimating excess mortality due to the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic analysis of COVID-19-related mortality, 2020-21. Lancet 2022;399:1513-1536.
- Meo SA, Meo AS, Al-Jassir FF, Klonoff DC. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 new variant: global prevalence and biological and clinical characteristics. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2021;25:8012-8018.
- Tian D, Sun Y, Zhou J, Ye Q. The global epidemic of the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant, key spike mutations and immune escape. Front Immunol 2021;12:751778.
- 4. Salari N, Hosseinian-Far A, Jalali R, Vaisi-Raygani A, Rasoulpoor S, Mohammadi M, et al. Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Global Health 2020;16:57.
- Cénat JM, Blais-Rochette C, Kokou-Kpolou CK, Noorishad PG, Mukunzi JN, McIntee SE, et al. Prevalence of symptoms of depression, anxiety, insomnia, posttraumatic stress disorder, and psychological distress among populations affected by the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychiatry Res 2021;295:113599.
- 6. Wu T, Jia X, Shi H, Niu J, Yin X, Xie J, et al. Prevalence of mental health problems during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord 2021;281:91-98.
- Salari N, Khazaie H, Hosseinian-Far A, Khaledi-Paveh B, Kazeminia M, Mohammadi M, et al. The prevalence of stress, anxiety and depression within front-line healthcare workers caring for COVID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-regression. Hum Resour Health 2020;18: 100.
- Pappa S, Ntella V, Giannakas T, Giannakoulis VG, Papoutsi E, Katsaounou P. Prevalence of depression, anxiety, and insomnia among healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and

meta-analysis. Brain Behav Immun 2020;88:901-907.

- Fernandez R, Sikhosana N, Green H, Halcomb EJ, Middleton R, Alananzeh I, et al. Anxiety and depression among healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic umbrella review of the global evidence. BMJ Open 2021;11:e054528.
- Motahedi S, Aghdam NF, Khajeh M, Baha R, Aliyari R, Bagheri H, et al. Anxiety and depression among healthcare workers during COV-ID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study. Heliyon 2021;7:e08570.
- Ullah I, Khan KS, Ali I, Ullah AR, Mukhtar S, de Filippis R, et al. Depression and anxiety among Pakistani healthcare workers amid COV-ID-19 pandemic: a qualitative study. Ann Med Surg (Lond) 2022;78: 103863.
- Oteir AO, Nazzal MS, Jaber AF, Alwidyan MT, Raffee LA. Depression, anxiety and insomnia among frontline healthcare workers amid the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19) in Jordan: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open 2022;12:e050078.
- Suryavanshi N, Kadam A, Dhumal G, Nimkar S, Mave V, Gupta A, et al. Mental health and quality of life among healthcare professionals during the COVID-19 pandemic in India. Brain Behav 2020;10:e01837.
- Erquicia J, Valls L, Barja A, Gil S, Miquel J, Leal-Blanquet J, et al. [Emotional impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on healthcare workers in one of the most important infection outbreaks in Europe]. Med Clin (Barc) 2020;155:434-440. Spanish
- Çınar D, Kılıç Akça N, Zorba Bahçeli P, Bağ Y. Perceived stress and affecting factors related to COVID-19 pandemic of emergency nurses in Turkey. J Nurs Manag 2021;29:1916-1923.
- Tang ACY, Kwong EW, Chen L, Cheng WLS. Associations between demographic characteristics, perceived threat, perceived stress, coping responses and adherence to COVID-19 prevention measures among Chinese healthcare students. J Adv Nurs 2021;77:3759-3771.
- Lee JY, Kim M, Jhon M, Kim H, Kang HJ, Ryu S, et al. The association of gratitude with perceived stress among nurses in Korea during CO-VID-19 outbreak. Arch Psychiatr Nurs 2021;35:647-652.
- Ruiz- Fernández MD, Ramos-Pichardo JD, Ibáñez-Masero O, Cabrera-Troya J, Carmona-Rega MI, Ortega- Galán ÁM. Compassion fatigue, burnout, compassion satisfaction and perceived stress in healthcare professionals during the COVID-19 health crisis in Spain. J Clin Nurs 2020;29:4321-4330.
- Franza F, Basta R, Pellegrino F, Solomita B, Fasano V. The role of fatigue of compassion, burnout and hopelessness in healthcare: experience in the time of COVID-19 outbreak. Psychiatr Danub 2020;32(Suppl 1):10-14.
- 20. Lluch C, Galiana L, Doménech P, Sansó N. The impact of the COV-ID-19 pandemic on burnout, compassion fatigue, and compassion satisfaction in healthcare personnel: a systematic review of the literature published during the first year of the pandemic. Healthcare (Basel) 2022;10:364.
- Ma H, Huang SQ, We B, Zhong Y. Compassion fatigue, burnout, compassion satisfaction and depression among emergency department physicians and nurses: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open 2022;12:e055941.
- 22. Hente E, Sears R, Cotton S, Pallerla H, Siracusa C, Filigno SS, et al. A pilot study of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy to improve wellbeing for health professionals providing chronic disease care. J Pediatr 2020;224:87-93.e1.
- Hart PL, Brannan JD, De Chesnay M. Resilience in nurses: an integrative review. J Nurs Manag 2014;22:720-734.
- Kentner AC, Cryan JF, Brummelte S. Resilience priming: translational models for understanding resiliency and adaptation to early life adversity. Dev Psychobiol 2019;61:350-375.
- Marcolongo F, Ottaviani M, Romano P, Bonassi S, Garramone A, Infarinato F, et al. The role of resilience and coping among Italian healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. Med Lav 2021;112:496-505.
- 26. Ren H, Luo X, Wang Y, Guo X, Hou H, Zhang Y, et al. Psychological responses among nurses caring for patients with COVID-19: a comparative study in China. Transl Psychiatry 2021;11:273.

- Hyun J, Kim S, Kim H, Choi YJ, Choi YK, Lee YR, et al. COVID-19 and risk factors of anxiety and depression in South Korea. Psychiatry Investig 2021;18:801-808.
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med 2001;16:606-613.
- 29. Wang H, Wang Y, Wang G, Wilson A, Jin T, Zhu L, et al. Structural family factors and bullying at school: a large scale investigation based on a Chinese adolescent sample. BMC Public Health 2021;21:2249.
- Li WW, West C, Xie G. The reflective risk assessment model of professional quality of life in Chinese nurses. J Nurs Manag 2021;29:767-775.
- Shen J, Yu H, Zhang Y, Jiang A. Professional quality of life: a cross-sectional survey among Chinese clinical nurses. Nurs Health Sci 2015;17: 507-515.
- Maila S, Martin PD, Chipps J. Professional quality of life amongst nurses in psychiatric observation units. S Afr J Psychiatr 2020;26:1553.
- 33. Cosentino C, Foà C, Bertuol M, Cappi V, Riboni S, Rossi S, et al. The impact of the alterations in caring for COVID-19 patients on compassion satisfaction and compassion fatigue in Italian nurses: a multi method study. Acta biomed 2022;93(Suppl 2):e2022190.
- Wagnild GM, Young HM. Development and psychometric evaluation of the Resilience Scale. J Nurs Meas 1993;1:165-178.
- 35. Michalski LJ, Demers CH, Baranger DAA, Barch DM, Harms MP, Burgess GC, et al. Perceived stress is associated with increased rostral middle frontal gyrus cortical thickness: a family-based and discordantsibling investigation. Genes Brain Behav 2017;16:781-789.

- 36. Rietschel L, Zhu G, Kirschbaum C, Strohmaier J, Wust S, Rietschel M, et al. Perceived stress has genetic influences distinct from neuroticism and depression. Behav Genet 2014;44:639-645.
- Moreno GL, Bruss J, Denburg NL. Increased perceived stress is related to decreased prefrontal cortex volumes among older adults. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2017;39:313-325.
- 38. Wang S, Zhao Y, Zhang L, Wang X, Wang X, Cheng B, et al. Stress and the brain: perceived stress mediates the impact of the superior frontal gyrus spontaneous activity on depressive symptoms in late adolescence. Hum Brain Mapp 2019;40:4982-4993.
- 39. García-León MÁ, Pérez-Mármol JM, Gonzalez- Pérez R, García-Ríos MDC, Peralta-Ramírez MI. Relationship between resilience and stress: perceived stress, stressful life events, HPA axis response during a stressful task and hair cortisol. Physiol Behav 2019;202:87-93.
- 40. Green J, Huberty J, Puzia M, Stecher C. The effect of meditation and physical activity on the mental health impact of COVID-19-related stress and attention to news among mobile app users in the United States: crosssectional survey. JMIR Ment Health 2021;8:e28479.
- 41. Wright LJ, Williams SE, Veldhuijzen van Zanten JJCS. Physical activity protects against the negative impact of coronavirus fear on adolescent mental health and well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. Front Psychol 2021;12:580511.
- 42. Kim SW, Park IH, Kim M, Park AL, Jhon M, Kim JW, et al. Risk and protective factors of depression in the general population during the COVID-19 epidemic in Korea. BMC Psychiatry 2021;21:445.

Supplementary Table 1. Perceived stress and the odd of major depression

Perceived stress	No. of cases/	Model 1*	Model 2 [†]	Model 3 [‡]	
	total participants	OR (95% CIs)	OR (95% CIs)	OR (95% CIs)	
Low (tertile 1, <11)	29/318	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Medium (tertile 2, 11 to 16)	27/313	0.94 (0.54 to 1.63)	0.95 (0.54 to 1.64)	1.24 (0.68 to 2.42)	
High (tertile 3, >17)	79/267	4.19 (2.63 to 6.66)	4.52 (2.83 to 7.23)	3.10 (1.82 to 5.29)	
p for trend		< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	
Per 1-SD increment	135/898	2.67 (2.12 to 3.35)	2.75 (2.17 to 3.47)	2.08 (1.61 to 2.68)	

*model 1: unadjusted; †model 2: adjusted for age and sex; ‡model 3: adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, education level, regular physical activity (yes vs. no), smoking (yes vs. no), contract employee (yes vs. no), daily working hours (>8 hr vs. \leq 8 hr), compassion fatigue, and resilience. OR, odds ratio; CIs, confidence intervals; SD, standard deviation



Supplementary Figure 1. Flow diagram for participants inclusion and exclusion. PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9.